

The impact of the observer's position on solar radio observations

Nicolina Chrysaphi^{1,2,3}

M. Maksimovic², E. Kontar³, A. Vecchio^{4,2}, X. Chen³, and K. Pesini⁴

¹LPP, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
 ²LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, Meudon, France
 ³University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
 ⁴Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

ORCID.org

PNST, Marseille, France 11 January 2024

Spectroscopic Properties



- radio photons excited by electrons accelerated along open magnetic fields
- sometimes observed with associated Langmuir waves

Observed spectroscopic properties:

- signal amplitude (peak)
- duration
- delay time
- rise time
- decay time



Density inhomogeneities in the heliosphere affect the propagation of photons

- photons can be scattered, refracted, absorbed
- Important when observed $f \approx f_{pe}$
- frequency-dependent ⇒ lower frequencies are affected more

Scattering dominates the observed properties

• source sizes appear larger

nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

• source positions appear shifted

Density inhomogeneities are anisotropic

⇒ scattering is also **anisotropic**





4

Anisotropic scattering means that photon propagation is directional (mushroom-like shape)
 ⇒ observer's position is important (for source size, position, and flux)



The animation can be found at this CESRA nugget: Chrysaphi et al. (Nov. 2019), Figure 1



Decay Time

Is the decay time also affected by the observer's position?



Why examine the decay time?

- Decay time **defined by scattering**
- Used as proxy for estimating level of density fluctuations $\frac{\delta n}{n}$
- If dependent on angular separation, measurements will need correction

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, submitted)

Fitting the entire light curve

Previous Decay Time Estimations:

- Approximated using a single exponential fit to the decay phase
- Not always a good characterisation
- Peak time not described

We fit the entire light curve with a single function,

providing an improved estimation of the **decay time**, and a simultaneous estimation of the **rise time and peak flux**:

$$f = \left[A \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_1}{t_i - t_{off}} - \frac{t_i - t_{off}}{\tau_2}\right) + C\right] \times H(t, t_{off})$$



500

0

1000

Time [s]

2000

1500



Simulations Prediction



- Used state-of-the-art 3D ray-tracing simulations accounting for anisotropy (Kontar et al. 2019, ApJ, 884, 122)
- **Prediction:** No dependency of the decay time on the observer's position



Multi-vantage observations

- Multi-vantage observations of interplanetary Type III bursts
- Used data from:



• Langmuir waves observed by one of the spacecraft





Langmuir waves observed by one of the spacecraft
 ⇒ spacecraft location taken as radio source location

 (3D) angular separation θ calculated in the plane of the two spacecraft, with the Sun-source axis taken as the origin

• Considered the **Euclidean distance** between the source and spacecraft



As a function of angular separation from source:

As a function of Euclidean distance from source:



* Figures shown are for one of the Type III bursts analysed

- Corrected for scattering-induced broadening due to frequency differences between spacecraft
- **Result: No systematic trend** between measurements at comparable frequencies

⇒ Decay- and Rise-time measurements are independent of the observer's position

SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

SCIENCES

Direct comparison



• Similar time profiles despite that recorded frequencies are not identical

Chrysaphi et al. (2024, submitted)



nicolina.chrysaphi@lpp.polytechnique.fr

- $\tau_r / \tau_d \propto f^{0.04 \pm 0.04}$ (obtained for 0.06 1.73 MHz)
- Compared datasets covering frequencies from 0.06 130 MHz (4 decades), finding similar τ_r/τ_d
- Result: No frequency dependency
- ⇒ Rise time is affected by scattering effects in a proportionate manner to the decay time

12

Summary

Improved Methodology → more physically meaningful

- 1. Used 3+ vantage points
- 2. Fitted entirety of light profiles with single function
- 3. Accounted for errors in rise and decay time estimations
- 4. Considered 3D locations of spacecraft
- 5. Calculated θ from the source (not heliocentric)
- 6. Calculated Euclidean distance (not just radial)
- 7. Corrected for differences between spacecrafts' recorded frequencies
- 8. Evaluated results in 3 separate ways

Summary

Improved Methodology → more physically meaningful

- 1. Used 3+ vantage points
- 2. Fitted entirety of light profiles with single function
- 3. Accounted for errors in rise and decay time estimations
- 4. Considered 3D locations of spacecraft
- 5. Calculated θ from the source (not heliocentric)
- 6. Calculated Euclidean distance (not just radial)
- 7. Corrected for differences between spacecrafts' recorded frequencies
- 8. Evaluated results in 3 separate ways

Conclusions

- Scattering is anisotropic, leading to highly-directional emissions ⇒ consider observer's position
- Decay & Rise time: No systematic trend between measurements at various observer separations
 - ⇒ only measurements that can be trusted irrespective of the observer's location
 - \Rightarrow do not require a correction
- Rise phase of radio bursts does not grow exponentially
- Rise-to-decay time ratio: No frequency dependency
 Scattering contributes significantly to rise phase

14