# Are Switchbacks boundaries observed by Parker Solar Prob closed?

Nina Bizien<sup>1</sup>, T. Dudok de Wit<sup>1,2</sup>, C. Froment<sup>1</sup>, M. Velli<sup>3</sup>, S. D. Bale<sup>4</sup>, J. Kasper<sup>5,6</sup>, P. Whittlesey<sup>4</sup>, R. Macdowall<sup>7</sup>, D. Larson<sup>4</sup>, A. Case<sup>6</sup>

1. LPC2E, CNRS/CNES/University of Orleans, Orléans, France 2.ISSI 3. U. of California 4.SSL 5.U. of Michigan 6.BWX Technologies 7.NASA Goddard 5. SAO



nature and the possible dependance on the magnitude of deflections

What we find : They are mostly closed boundaries, with self-similar properties, which suggest a slower erosion as they propagate and is in agreement with a solar origin

Why is it interesting? May be linked to the anormal temperature of the solar corona and the acceleration of the solar wind

CNIS

cnes



Main parameter for the classification: (**B**·**n**)/|**B**| Small  $\rightarrow$  Tangential Discontinuities Large  $\rightarrow$  Rotational Discontinuities

**Objective**: Identify the plane of the discontinuity and its normal **n** to classify the boundary

#### Our methodology:

Apply two methods Minimum Variance Analysis (MVA) and Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) to estimate this plane in 3D and its normal

- Arc-polarized structures with a rotation always contained in a plane
- Alfvénic structures  $\rightarrow$  Constant magnitude |**B**|
- $\rightarrow$  Deflection at the intersection of a plane and a sphere
- Superimposed fluctuations
- SVD plane includes the origin
- MVA captures fluctuations, usually tangent to the sphere

## Results

PC2E

- Visual identification of 250 boundaries
- All boundaries are **arc-polarized structures** with constant IBI and included in a plane

We find that:

- $\rightarrow$  most discontinuities are Tangential (71%)
- $\rightarrow$  some are Rotational (3%)
- $\rightarrow$  remaining are unclassified (26%)
- No clear dependance on the magnitude of the deflection  $\rightarrow$  self-similar

#### **Classical scheme for discontinuities** Neugebauer et al. 1984 classification



### **Comparison with previous analyses:**

[Larosa et al., 2021][Akhavan-Tafti et al, 2021] - Mostly Rotational

- Use of MVA only which biased towards Rotational

### **Physical implications:**

- Closed boundaries : no plasma flow across the boundary

- Slower erosion of the structures
- Compatible with a solar origin of the structures

- Self-similar : small structures are not large structures which evolved and were eroded to become smaller

Nature of the boundary boils down to: Does the plane include the origin or not?

- Most of the boundaries have a small I(**B**·**n**)I/I**B**I  $(\leq 0.2)$  and a small  $|\Delta \mathbf{B}| / |\mathbf{B}| (\leq 0.1)$
- No clear dependance on the magnitude of the deflection  $\rightarrow$  self-similar
- Mostly unclassified boundaries with the scheme



#### **Comparison of thickness** with plasma scales :

 $D = (t_d - t_b) \cdot (\langle \mathbf{V}_{pl} \rangle - \mathbf{V}_{SC})$ 

- Exceed proton inertial length di

- In the MHD scales

## Conclusion

Switchbacks are arc-polarised structures whose rotation is always contained in a plane

- Mainly closed structures (TDs)

Stark contrast with previous analyses (RDs)  $\rightarrow$  Use the MVA with great caution

 $\rightarrow$  stable structures which may survive until larger distances (observed at Earth's orbit)

Switchback origin is likely to be rooted deep in the solar corona

**Current project** PSP Talk on wednesday Investigation of the solar origin of switchbacks: Connecting in situ measurements of switchbacks at PSP and eruptive phenomena

observed in solar EUV images

1.0

8.0

